| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| | 10/012,003 | SCHULHOFF ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| · | Necholus Ogden | 1751 | |
| All Participants: | icipants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) Necholus Ogden. | (3) | | |
| (2) <u>Joachim Fritz</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 30 October 2006 | Time: | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | olicant's representative) | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | | |
| Claims discussed: all | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEI Applicants rep. agreed to the limitations as outlined in the exa allowable over the art of record | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumn | The examiner will provide a te record of the substance of | of the interview, since the interview | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| $\Omega \Lambda$ | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic | ant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) | |
| · Virginia | 11 | 2 | |